Quantum Machine Learning

Adam Brown, HEP-AI

Quantum Computing



Machine Learning



╉

Quantum Machine Learning



Quantum Computing



Machine Learning





Quantum Machine Learning



Quantum Machine Learning



"I would predict that in 10 years there's nothing but quantum machine learning." [famous QML researcher in 2015]











(i) BQP contains P

a quantum computer can (quickly) do everything a classical computer can (quickly) do



(i) BQP contains P

a quantum computer can (quickly) do everything a classical computer can (quickly) do

(ii) P does not contain BQP

a quantum computer can do some things quickly that a classical computer cannot do quickly **ENCOURAGING**



(i) BQP contains P

a quantum computer can (quickly) do everything a classical computer can (quickly) do

(ii) P does not contain BQP

a quantum computer can do some things quickly that a classical computer cannot do quickly **ENCOURAGING**

(iii) EXP contains BQP

a classical computer can **eventually** do everything a quantum computer can do



(i) BQP contains P

a quantum computer can (quickly) do everything a classical computer can (quickly) do

(ii) P does not contain BQP

a quantum computer can do some things quickly that a classical computer cannot do quickly **ENCOURAGING**

(iii) EXP contains BQP

a classical computer can **eventually** do everything a quantum computer can do

(iv) BQP does not contain EXP

a quantum computer does **not** give **generic** exponential speed-up



(i) BQP contains P

a quantum computer can (quickly) do everything a classical computer can (quickly) do

(ii) P does not contain BQP

a quantum computer can do some things quickly that a classical computer cannot do quickly **ENCOURAGING**

(iii) EXP contains BQP

a classical computer can **eventually** do everything a quantum computer can do

(iv) BQP does not contain EXP

a quantum computer does **not** give **generic** exponential speed-up





(i) BQP contains P

a quantum computer can (quickly) do everything a classical computer can (quickly) do

(ii) P does not contain BQP

a quantum computer can do some things quickly that a classical computer cannot do quickly **ENCOURAGING**

(iii) EXP contains BQP

a classical computer can **eventually** do everything a quantum computer can do

(iv) BQP does not contain EXP

a quantum computer does **not** give **generic** exponential speed-up

"NO FREE LUNCH"



quantum superpower: EXPONENTIATION

"HILBERT SPACE IS HUGE"

to describe state of *N* qubits takes 2^N real numbers $\alpha_1 |0000000\rangle + \ldots + \alpha_{2^N} |1111111\rangle$

(iii) EXP contains BQP

a classical computer can **eventually** do everything a quantum computer can do

(iv) BQP does not contain EXP

a quantum computer does **not** give **generic** exponential speed-up

"NO FREE LUNCH"











thermally fluctuate
$$\sim e^{-{
m height}/T}$$

quantum tunnel
$$\sim e^{-\sqrt{\mathrm{height}} \times \mathrm{width}/\hbar}$$







there are short quantum circuits whose output is hard to classically sample (follows directly from BQP not in P)



there are short quantum circuits whose output is hard to classically sample (follows directly from BQP not in P)

there are functions that can be expressed with a polynomial size quantum neural network that would require an exponentially large classical neural network

Harrow, Hassidim, Lloyd algorithm (2008)











(i) BQP contains P

a quantum computer can (quickly) do everything a classical computer can (quickly) do

(ii) P does not contain BQP

a quantum computer can do some things quickly that a classical computer cannot do quickly **ENCOURAGING**

(iii) EXP contains BQP

a classical computer can **eventually** do everything a quantum computer can do

(iv) BQP does not contain EXP

a quantum computer does **not** give **generic** exponential speed-up



- if "you" ever measure, coherence is destroyed
- no cloning principle makes error correction hard
- classical = 10⁻²⁴ errors per gate, quantum = 10⁻² errors per gate

- if "you" ever measure, coherence is destroyed
- no cloning principle makes error correction hard
- classical = 10⁻²⁴ errors per gate, quantum = 10⁻² errors per gate



- if "you" ever measure, coherence is destroyed
- no cloning principle makes error correction hard
- classical = 10⁻²⁴ errors per gate, quantum = 10⁻² errors per gate



- if "you" ever measure, coherence is destroyed
- no cloning principle makes error correction hard
- classical = 10⁻²⁴ errors per gate, quantum = 10⁻² errors per gate



- if "you" ever measure, coherence is destroyed
- no cloning principle makes error correction hard
- classical = 10⁻²⁴ errors per gate, quantum = 10⁻² errors per gate



"quantum supremacy" 2018??



input random state into ~50 qubit random quantum circuit check on classical supercomputer that you got something consistent with statistical predictions of quantum mechanics

"quantum supremacy" 2018??



input random state into ~50 qubit random quantum circuit ↓ check on classical supercomputer that you got something consistent with statistical predictions of quantum mechanics ↓ 99% noise (error), 1% signal

"quantum supremacy" 2018??



input random state into ~50 qubit random quantum circuit ↓ check on classical supercomputer that you got something consistent with statistical predictions of quantum mechanics ↓ 99% noise (error), 1% signal

we're a long way from cracking RSA!





Quantum algorithm for solving linear systems of equations Aram W. Harrow, Avinatan Hassidim, Seth Lloyd https://arxiv.org/abs/0811.3171

Quantum Machine Learning Jacob Biamonte, Peter Wittek, Nicola Pancotti, Patrick Rebentrost, Nathan Wiebe, Seth Lloyd https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.09347

Quantum Machine Learning Algorithms: Read the Fine Print <u>Scott Aaronson</u> https://scottaaronson.com/papers/qml.pdf



















Example #2: Quantum Neural Networks



Classification with Quantum Neural Networks on Near Term Processors Edward Farhi, Hartmut Neven https://arxiv.org/abs/1802.06002

Barren plateaus in quantum neural network training landscapes Jarrod R. McClean, Sergio Boixo, Vadim N. Smelyanskiy, Ryan Babbush, Hartmut Neven https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.11173





can definitely *represent* some functions that are classically hard

- 1. do we care about those functions?
- 2. can we *train* it?







2. can we *train* it?







